arXiv:1610.02778v1 [math.PR] 10 Oct 2016

Integration by Parts formula for SPDEs with Multiplicative Noise and its Applications*

Xing Huang [a)], **Shao-Qin Zhang** [b)], **Li-Xia Liu** [a)]

a)School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China,

XingHuang@mail.bnu.edu.cn, lixialiu1@126.com

b)School of Statistics and Mathematics, Central University of Finance and Economics, Beijing 100081, China,

zhangsq@cufe.edu.cn

October 11, 2016

Abstract

By using the Malliavin calculus, the Driver-type integration by parts formula is established for the semigroup associated to to SPDEs with Multiplicative Noise. Moreover, estimates on the density of heat kernel w.r.t. Lebesgue measure are obtained in finite dimension case.

AMS subject Classification: 60H155, 60B10.
Keywords: Integration by parts formula, Multiplicative noise, Stochastic partial differential equations, Malliavin calculus.

1 Introduction

A significant application of the Malliavin calculus ([5, 6]) is to describe the density of a Wiener functional using the integration by parts formula. In 1997, Driver [1] established the following integration by parts formula for the heat semigroup P_t on a compact Riemannian manifold M:

$$P_t(\nabla_Z f) = \mathbb{E}(f(X_t)N_t), \quad f \in C^1(M), Z \in \mathscr{X}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathscr{X}$ is is the set of all smooth vector fields on M, and N_t is a random variable depending on Z and the curvature tensor. This formula has many applications. For example, we are

*Supported in part by NNSFC(11131003, 11431014), the 985 project and the Laboratory of Mathematical and Complex Systems.

able to characterize the derivative w.r.t. the second variable y of the heat kernel $p_t(x,y)$, see [7] for a study on integration by parts formulas and applications for SDEs and SPDEs driven by Wiener processes. Moreover, if N_t is exponential integrable, (1.1) implies the shift Harnack inequality, see also [7] for details.

So far, there are many results on the Driver-type integration by parts formula for SDEs or SPDEs. The backward coupling method developed in [7] has been used in [2, 11] for SDEs driven by fractional Brownian motions and SPDEs driven by Wiener processes

Recently, using a finite many jumps approximation and Malliavin calculus, Wang obtains integration by parts formula for SDEs and SPDEs with additive noise driven by subordinated Brownian motion, see [8, 9].

However, all the above results are considered in additive noise case. The aim of this paper is to derive the integration by parts formula for SPDEs with multiplicative noise by Malliavin calculus and to derive estimates on the derivatives of heat kernel.

The main difficulty in obtaining the integration by parts formula is to give a representation of $D_{h_k}J_T$ (see the proof of Theorem 2.2). Unfortunately, in multiplicative noise case, the equations for $D_{h_k}J_T$ is so sophisticated that the Duhamel's formula used in the additive noise case is unavailable. Instead, we applying Lemma 3.1 which is crucial in the proof of the main results.

Consider the following SPDE on a separable Hilbert spaces $(\mathbb{H}, \langle,\rangle, |\cdot|)$:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = AX_t\mathrm{d}t + b_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}W_t, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{H}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $b : [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{H}$ are measurable locally bounded (i.e. bounded on bounded sets), and $\sigma : [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{H}\to\mathscr{L}(\mathbb{H})$ is measurable, where $\mathscr{L}(\mathbb{H})$ is the space of bounded linear operators on $\mathbb{H}$ equipped with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$. Moreover,

(i) W is a cylindrical Brownian motion on $\mathbb{H}$ with respect to a complete filtration probability space $(\Omega,\mathscr{F},\{\mathscr{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0},\mathbb{P})$. More precisely, $W=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w^n e_n$ for a sequence of independent one dimensional Brownian motions $\{w^n\}_{n\geq 1}$ with respect to $(\Omega,\mathscr{F},\{\mathscr{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0},\mathbb{P})$, where $\{e_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is an orthonormal basis on $\mathbb{H}$.

(ii) $(A,\mathscr{D}(A))$ is a linear operator generating a C_0-contraction semigroup e^{At} such that

$$\int_0^T \|\mathrm{e}^{At}\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2\mathrm{d}t<\infty, \quad T>0, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}}$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

(iii) There exists a non-negative function $K\in C([0,\infty),[0,\infty))$ such that

$$\|\nabla_v b_s(x)\|\vee\|\nabla_v\sigma_s(x)\|_{\mathrm{HS}}\leq K(s)|v|, \quad s\geq 0, x, v\in\mathbb{H}. \tag{1.4}$$

Then the equation (1.2) has a unique mild solution $X_t(x)$, and the associated Markov semigroup P_t is defined as follows:

$$P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}f(X_t(x)), \quad f\in\mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{H}), t\geq 0, x\in\mathbb{H}.$$

Since for any $t \geq 0$, $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{e}^{At}) = 0$, the inverse operator $\mathrm{e}^{-At} : \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{e}^{At}) \to \mathbb{H}$ is well defined. To establish the integration by parts formula, we need the following assumptions:

(H1) For any $(t,x) \in [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{H}$, $b_t, \sigma_t \in C^2(\mathbb{H})$, and there holds $\nabla b_t(x) : \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{e}^{At}) \to \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{e}^{At})$, $\nabla\sigma_t(x) : \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{e}^{At}) \to \mathscr{L}(\mathbb{H}, \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{e}^{At}))$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} &B_t(x) := \mathrm{e}^{-At}\nabla b_t(x)\mathrm{e}^{At}, \quad \Sigma_t(x) := \mathrm{e}^{-At}\nabla\sigma_t(x)\mathrm{e}^{At}, \\ &\sigma_t^{(k)}(x) := \sigma_t(x)e_k, \quad \Sigma_t^{(k)}(x) := \mathrm{e}^{-At}\nabla\sigma_t^{(k)}(x)\mathrm{e}^{At}, \quad k \geq 1, (t,x) \in [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{H}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Assume

$$\|B_t(x)\| \vee \left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|\Sigma_t^{(k)}(x)\right\|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \vee \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|\Sigma_t^{(k)}(x)\right\| \leq K_1(t), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{H}, \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\|\nabla B_t(x)\| \vee \left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|\nabla\Sigma_t^{(k)}(x)\right\|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq K_2(t), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{H} \tag{1.7}$$

for two increasing functions K_1, $K_2 \in C([0,\infty),[0,\infty))$.

(H2) σ is invertible. Moreover, there exist a strictly positive increasing function λ, $\lambda \in C([0,\infty),(0,\infty))$ such that

$$\left\|\sigma_t^{-1}(x)\right\| \leq \lambda(t), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{H}. \tag{1.8}$$

(H2) is a standard non-degenerate assumption, while (H1) comes from [9], which means that the interaction between far away directions are weak enough. For example, let $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots\cdots$ be all eigenvalues of $-A$ counting multiplicities, and $\{e_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ are the corresponding eigenbasis. (H1) holds if

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle\nabla_{e_i}b_t, e_j\rangle| \leq K_1(t)\mathrm{e}^{-t|\lambda_i-\lambda_j|}, \\ &|\langle\nabla\nabla_{e_i}b_t, e_j\rangle| \leq K_2(t)\mathrm{e}^{-t|\lambda_i-\lambda_j|}, \\ &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|\langle\nabla_{e_i}\sigma_t^k, e_j\rangle|^2 \leq K_1^2(t)\mathrm{e}^{-2t|\lambda_i-\lambda_j|}, \\ &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|\langle\nabla\nabla_{e_i}\sigma_t^k, e_j\rangle|^2 \leq K_2^2(t)\mathrm{e}^{-2t|\lambda_i-\lambda_j|}, \quad t \geq 0, i, j \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, for simplicity, set

$$\begin{aligned} &(\nabla B_t)(x)(u,v) := \nabla_v\left(B_t(\cdot)u\right)(x), \\ &\left(\nabla\Sigma_t^{(k)}\right)(x)(u,v) := \nabla_v\left(\Sigma_t^{(k)}(\cdot)u\right)(x), \quad k \geq 1, (t,x,u,v) \in [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{H}^3. \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

2 Main results

To state our main results, for any $s \geq 0$, we introduce $\mathscr{L}(\mathbb{H})$-valued processes $(J_{s,t})_{t\geq s}$ and $(J^A_{s,t})_{t\geq s}$, which solve the following SDEs:

$$\mathrm{d}J_{s,t} = B_t(X_t)J_{s,t}\mathrm{d}t + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\Sigma_t^{(k)}(X_t)J_{s,t}\mathrm{d}w_t^k, \quad J_{s,s} = I \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\mathrm{d}J^A_{s,t} = (A + \nabla b_t(X_t))J^A_{s,t}\mathrm{d}t + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\nabla\sigma_t^{(k)}(X_t)J^A_{s,t}\mathrm{d}w_t^k, \quad J^A_{s,s} = I. \tag{2.2}$$

From (1.7), (2.1) and (2.2) are well defined. Set $J_t = J_{0,t}$ and $J^A_t = J^A_{0,t}$, then $J^A_t = \mathrm{e}^{At}J_t$.

Firstly, we use Itô's formula to derive the equation for $\{J_t^{-1}\}_{t\geq 0}$.

Assume

$$\mathrm{d}J_t^{-1} = G_t\mathrm{d}t + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}H_t^{(k)}\mathrm{d}w_t^k, \quad J_0^{-1} = I,$$

where G and H are to be determined.

Applying Itô's formula (see [3, section 23]), combining with (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}J_tJ_t^{-1} = {} & B_t(X_t)J_tJ_t^{-1}\mathrm{d}t + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\Sigma_t^{(k)}(X_t)J_tJ_t^{-1}\mathrm{d}w_t^k \\ & + J_tG_t\mathrm{d}t + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}J_tH_t^{(k)}\mathrm{d}w_t^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\Sigma_t^{(k)}(X_t)J_tH_t^{(k)}\mathrm{d}t.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{d}J_tJ_t^{-1} = 0$, it holds that

$$G_t = -J_t^{-1}\left\{B_t(X_t) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma_t^{(k)}(X_t)\right)^2\right\}, \quad H_t^k = -J_t^{-1}\Sigma_t^{(k)}(X_t), \quad k \geq 1.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\mathrm{d}J_t^{-1} = -J_t^{-1}\left\{B_t(X_t) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma_t^{(k)}(X_t)\right)^2\right\}\mathrm{d}t - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}J_t^{-1}\Sigma_t^{(k)}(X_t)\mathrm{d}w_t^k, \quad J_0^{-1} = I. \tag{2.3}$$

Remark 2.1 Since the inverse of J^A_t does not exist in infinite dimension, we will use J_t^{-1} to construct h in (3.5) in stead of $(J^A_t)^{-1}$ in finite dimension, seeing details in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Moreover, to ensure the existence of J_t^{-1}, we assume (H1).

We have the following estimates for J_t and J_t^{-1}.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume (H1) and (H2). Then for any $x \in \mathbb{H}$, $t \geq 0$, $p \geq 2$, it holds that*

$$\sup_{s\in[0,t]} \mathbb{E}\|J_s\|^p \leq 3^{p-1} \exp\left\{3^{p-1}\left(t^{p-1} + t^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\right) K_1^p(t)\right\} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\sup_{s\in[0,t]} \mathbb{E}\|J_s^{-1}\|^p \leq 3^{p-1} \exp\left\{3^{p-1}\left[t^{p-1}\left(K_1(t) + K_1^2(t)\right)^p + t^{\frac{p}{2}-1} K_1^p(t)\right]\right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. By Burkerholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, it follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\,\|J_t\|^p &\leq 3^{p-1} + 3^{p-1}\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t B_s(X_s)J_s \mathrm{d}s\right\|^p + 3^{p-1}\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_s^{(k)}(X_s)J_s \mathrm{d}w_s^k\right\|^p \\
&\leq 3^{p-1} + 3^{p-1}t^{p-1}\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \|B_s(X_s)\|^p \|J_s\|^p \mathrm{d}s \\
&+ 3^{p-1}t^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\Sigma_s^{(k)}(X_s)\|^2\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \|J_s\|^p \mathrm{d}s \\
&\leq 3^{p-1} + 3^{p-1}\left[t^{p-1}K_1^p(t) + t^{\frac{p}{2}-1}K_1^p(t)\right] \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|J_s\|^p \mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall inequality, we have

$$\sup_{s\in[0,t]} \mathbb{E}\,\|J_s\|^p \leq 3^{p-1} \exp\left\{3^{p-1}\left(t^{p-1} + t^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\right) K_1^p(t)\right\}. \tag{2.6}$$

Similarly, (2.3) yields that

$$\sup_{s\in[0,t]} \mathbb{E}\left\|J_s^{-1}\right\|^p \leq 3^{p-1} \exp\left\{3^{p-1}\left[t^{p-1}\left(K_1(t) + K_1^2(t)\right)^p + t^{\frac{p}{2}-1} K_1^p(t)\right]\right\}. \tag{2.7}$$

Thus, we finish the proof. □

The main result is the following.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume (H1) and (H2), then the integration formula by parts holds, i.e.*

$$P_T(\nabla_{\mathrm{e}^{AT}v} f) = \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\{f(X_T)M_T^v\}, \quad v \in \mathbb{H}, f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{H}) \tag{2.8}$$

holds for

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_T^v :=& \left\langle \int_0^T \left[\sigma_t^{-1}(X_t)J_t^A\right]^* \mathrm{d}W_t, J_T^{-1}v \right\rangle \\
&+ \int_0^T \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ tJ_t^{-1}\left[(\nabla B_t)(X_t)\left(J_tJ_T^{-1}v, J_t^A\right)\right]\right\}\mathrm{d}t \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left\langle \left[\int_0^T J_t^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^\infty \left(\nabla_{tJ_t^Ae_k}\Sigma_t^{(j)}\right)(X_t)J_t\mathrm{d}w_t^j\right] J_T^{-1}v, e_k\right\rangle \\
&+ \int_0^T \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ J_t^{-1}\left[\Sigma_t(X_t)J_tJ_T^{-1}v\right]\left(\sigma_t^*(\sigma_t\sigma_t^*)^{-1}\right)(X_t)J_t^A\right\}\mathrm{d}t \\
&- \int_0^T \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ tJ_t^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^\infty \Sigma_t^{(j)}(X_t)\left[\left(\nabla\Sigma_t^{(j)}\right)(X_t)\left(J_tJ_T^{-1}v, J_t^A\right)\right]\right\}\mathrm{d}t.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}
$$

Remark 2.2 Every term in (2.9) is well defined by (1.3), (H1), (H2), Lemma 2.1.

This result extends [7, Theorem 5.1] where σ only depends on time, see also [10, Theorem 3.2.4(1)]. When $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^d$ is finite-dimensional, we may take $A = 0$ and so that Theorem 2.2 with $J^A = J$ covers the result in [7, Theorem 2.1]. In this case, according to [7], the integration by parts formula implies that P_T has a density $p_T(x, y)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure, which is differentiable in y with

$$
\nabla_v \log p_T(x,\cdot)(y) = -\mathbb{E}\left(M_T^v | X_T(x) = y\right), \quad x, v \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{2.10}
$$

The next corollary is an application of Theorem 2.2 for finite dimension case.

Corollary 2.3. *Assume (H1) and (H2), $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^d$, $A = 0$. Let*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_1(p,t) &:= 3^{p-1}\exp\left\{3^{p-1}\left(t^{p-1} + t^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\right)K_1^p(t)\right\}, \\
\beta_2(p,t) &:= 3^{p-1}\exp\left\{3^{p-1}\left[t^{p-1}\left(K_1(t) + K_1^2(t)\right)^p + t^{\frac{p}{2}-1}K_1^p(t)\right]\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the following assertions hold.

(1) For any $T > 0$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|P_T(\nabla_v f)\|_\infty \le |v|\|f\|_\infty \frac{\Gamma_T}{T}, \quad f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \\
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_v \log p_T(x,\cdot)|(y)p_T(x,y)\mathrm{d}y \le |v|\frac{\Gamma_T}{T}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_T =& \lambda(T)\sqrt{dT}\left(\beta_1(2,T)\beta_2(2,T)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&+ dT^2K_2(T)\left(\beta_2(4,T)\beta_1(8,T)\beta_2^2(2,T)\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
&+ \left(dT^2K_2(T) + dT\lambda_2(T)K_1(T) + dT^2K_1(T)K_2(T)\right)\left(\beta_1(4,T)\beta_2(4,T)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) For any $p>1$, $T>0$, it holds that

$$|P_T(\nabla_v f)| \le \frac{|v|}{T}(P_T|f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{5^{[\frac{p}{p-1}]\vee 2-1}\Gamma_{T,[\frac{p}{p-1}]\vee 2}\right\}^{[\frac{p-1}{p}]\wedge\frac{1}{2}},$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_v \log p_T(x,\cdot)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(y)p_T(x,y)\mathrm{d}y \le \frac{|v|}{T}\left\{5^{[\frac{p}{p-1}]\vee 2-1}\Gamma_{T,[\frac{p}{p-1}]\vee 2}\right\}^{[\frac{p-1}{p}]\wedge\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{T,q} &= C(q)\lambda^q(T)d^{\frac{q}{2}}T^{\frac{q}{2}}\{\beta_1(2q,T)\beta_2(2q,T)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\quad + C(q)d^qT^{\frac{3q+1}{2}}K_2^q(T)\left(\beta_2(4q,T)\beta_1(8q,T)\beta_2^2(2q,T)\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\\ &\quad + \left(d^qT^{2q}K_2^q(T) + d^qT^q\lambda_2^q(T)K_1^q(T) + d^qT^{2q}K_1^q(T)K_2^q(T)\right)\left(\beta_1(4q,T)\beta_2(4q,T)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

and $C(q)$ is a nonnegetive constant only depending on $q\ge 2$.

Remark 2.3 From (2.1) and (2.3), it is easy to see that $\mathbb{E}\left(\exp(\delta|M_T^v|)\right)=\infty$ for any $\delta>0$, for M_T^v has the form like $\exp(\exp(X))$, where X is a Gaussian random variable. Thus, it can not yield the shift Harnack inequality by Young's inequality from (2.8) as in the additive noise case.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, we give a proof of Theorem 2.2, in Section 4, we prove Corollary 2.3.

3 Proof of Theorem 2.2

Firstly, we introduce a formula for the solution of a class of semi-linear SDEs on $\mathscr{L}(\mathbb{H},\mathbb{H})$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\{Y_t\}_{t\ge 0}$ solves the following SDE on $\mathscr{L}(\mathbb{H},\mathbb{H})$:*

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t = a_t\mathrm{d}t + b_tY_t\mathrm{d}t + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_t^kY_t\mathrm{d}w_t^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_t^k\mathrm{d}w_t^k,$$

where a, b, $\{c^k\}_{k\ge1}$, $\{f^k\}_{k\ge1}$ are $\mathscr{L}(\mathbb{H},\mathbb{H})$-valued progressive measurable processes satisfying

$$\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left(\|a_s\|^2+\|b_s\|^2\right)\mathrm{d}s + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left(\|c_s^k\|^2+\|f_s^k\|^2\right)\mathrm{d}s<\infty, \quad t\ge 0.$$

Then Y_t satifies

$$Y_t = G_t\left\{Y_0 + \int_0^t G_s^{-1}a_s\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t G_s^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_s^k\mathrm{d}w_s^k - \int_0^t G_s^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_s^kf_s^k\mathrm{d}s\right\}, \quad t\ge 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\{G_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ *and* $\{G_t^{-1}\}_{t\geq 0}$ *satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{d}G_t = b_t G_t \mathrm{d}t + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_t^k G_t \mathrm{d}w_t^k, \quad G_0 = I;\\
&\mathrm{d}G_t^{-1} = -G_t^{-1}\left(b_t - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(c_t^k\right)^2\right)\mathrm{d}t - G_t^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_t^k \mathrm{d}w_t^k, \quad G_0^{-1} = I.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\{F_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ (called as integrating factor) solves the following SDE:

$$\mathrm{d}F_t = -F_t b_t \mathrm{d}t - F_t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_t^k \mathrm{d}w_t^k + F_t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(c_t^k\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t, \quad F_0 = I.$$

Then Itô formula yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}F_t Y_t &= (\mathrm{d}F_t)Y_t + F_t \mathrm{d}Y_t + (\mathrm{d}F_t)(\mathrm{d}Y_t)\\
&= F_t\left[-b_t\mathrm{d}t - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_t^k \mathrm{d}w_t^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(c_t^k\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t\right] Y_t\\
&+ F_t\left[a_t\mathrm{d}t + b_t Y_t \mathrm{d}t + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_t^k Y_t \mathrm{d}w_t^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_t^k \mathrm{d}w_t^k\right]\\
&- F_t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(c_t^k\right)^2 Y_t \mathrm{d}t - F_t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_t^k f_t^k \mathrm{d}t\\
&= F_t a_t \mathrm{d}t + F_t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_t^k \mathrm{d}w_t^k - F_t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_t^k f_t^k \mathrm{d}t.
\end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$Y_t = F_t^{-1}\left\{F_0 Y_0 + \int_0^t F_s a_s \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t F_s \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_s^k \mathrm{d}w_s^k - \int_0^t F_s \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_s^k f_s^k \mathrm{d}s\right\}, \tag{3.2}$$

where F_t^{-1} satisfies

$$\mathrm{d}F_t^{-1} = b_t F_t^{-1}\mathrm{d}t + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_t^k F_t^{-1}\mathrm{d}w_t^k, \quad F_0^{-1} = I. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $G_t := F_t^{-1}$, we obtain (3.1). □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We will use Malliavin calculus to derive the integration by parts formula, see for instance [6, 7, 8]. For $(W_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$, let $(D, \mathscr{D}(D))$ be the Malliavin gradient, and let $(D^*, \mathscr{D}(D^*))$ be its adjoint operator (i.e. the Malliavin divergence). Since $(J_{s,t}, J_{s,t}^A)_{t\geq s}$ satisfy linear SDEs, from (2.1), it is easy to see that

$$J_T = J_{t,T} J_t, \quad J_T^A = J_{t,T}^A J_t^A, \quad J_t^A = \mathrm{e}^{At} J_t, \quad T \geq t \geq 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Take

$$h(t) = \int_0^t \sigma_s^{-1}(X_s) J_s^A J_T^{-1} v \mathrm{d}s, \quad t \in [0,T]. \tag{3.5}$$

From (H1), we see that J_t and J_t^{-1} are Malliavin differentiable for every $t \in [0,T]$ such that $h \in \mathscr{D}(D^*)$, so that (1.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}D_h X_t &= (A + \nabla b_t(X_t)) D_h X_t \mathrm{d}t \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nabla \sigma_t^{(k)}(X_t) D_h X_t \mathrm{d}w_t^k + \sigma_t(X_t) \mathrm{d}h(t), \quad D_h X_0 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Then by Duhamel's formula, we obtain

$$D_h X_T = \int_0^T J_{t,T}^A \sigma_t(X_t) \mathrm{d}h(t) = \int_0^T J_{t,T}^A J_t^A J_T^{-1} v \mathrm{d}t = T \mathrm{e}^{AT} v.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}(\nabla_{\mathrm{e}^{AT}v} f)(X_T) = \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}(\nabla_{D_h X_T} f)(X_T) = \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}\{D_h f(X_T)\} = \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}\{f(X_T) D^*(h)\}. \tag{3.7}$$

Since h is not adpted, to calculate $D^*(h)$, let

$$h_k(t) = \int_0^t \sigma_s^{-1}(X_s) J_s^A e_k \mathrm{d}s, \quad F_k = \left\langle J_T^{-1} v, e_k \right\rangle, \quad k \geq 1, t \in [0,T].$$

Then $h(t)$ can be written as

$$h(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k(t) F_k, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

Noting that h_k is adpted with $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |h_k'(t)|^2 \mathrm{d}t < \infty$, we have

$$D^*(h_k) = \int_0^T \langle h_k'(t), \mathrm{d}W_t \rangle = \int_0^T \left\langle \left(\sigma_s^*(\sigma_s \sigma_s^*)^{-1}\right)(X_s) J_s^A e_k, \mathrm{d}W_s \right\rangle, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Thus, using the formula $D^*(F_k h_k) = F_k D^*(h_k) - D_{h_k} F_k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(h) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{F_k D^*(h_k) - D_{h_k} F_k\} \\ &= \left\langle \int_0^T \left[\left(\sigma_s^*(\sigma_s \sigma_s^*)^{-1}\right)(X_s) J_s^A\right]^* \mathrm{d}W_s, J_T^{-1} v \right\rangle - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\langle D_{h_k} J_T^{-1} v, e_k \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

From (2.1) for $J_t := J_{0,t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}D_{h_k}J_t &= B_t(X_t)D_{h_k}J_t\mathrm{d}t + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Sigma_t^{(j)}(X_t)D_{h_k}J_t\mathrm{d}w_t^j \\ &\quad + \left(\nabla_{D_{h_k}X_t}B_t\right)(X_t)J_t\mathrm{d}t + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\nabla_{D_{h_k}X_t}\Sigma_t^{(j)}\right)(X_t)J_t\mathrm{d}w_t^j \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Sigma_t^{(j)}(X_t)J_t\mathrm{d}h_k^j(t), \quad D_{h_k}J_0 = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $h_k^j := \langle h_k, e_j\rangle$, $j \geq 1$. By Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}D_{h_k}J_T &= J_T\int_0^T J_t^{-1}\left(\nabla_{D_{h_k}X_t}B_t\right)(X_t)J_t\mathrm{d}t \\ &\quad + J_T\int_0^T J_t^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\nabla_{D_{h_k}X_t}\Sigma_t^{(j)}\right)(X_t)J_t\mathrm{d}w_t^j \\ &\quad + J_T\int_0^T J_t^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\langle\left(\sigma_t^*(\sigma_t\sigma_t^*)^{-1}\right)(X_t)J_t^Ae_k, e_j\right\rangle\Sigma_t^{(j)}(X_t)J_t\mathrm{d}t \\ &\quad - J_T\int_0^T J_t^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Sigma_t^{(j)}(X_t)\left(\nabla_{D_{h_k}X_t}\Sigma_t^{(j)}\right)(X_t)J_t\mathrm{d}t.\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

In addition, it follows from (3.6) that

$$D_{h_k}X_t = tJ_t^Ae_k. \tag{3.10}$$

Since $0 = D_{h_k}(J_TJ_T^{-1}) = J_TD_{h_k}J_T^{-1} + (D_{h_k}J_T)J_T^{-1}$, it means that

$$D_{h_k}J_T^{-1} = -J_T^{-1}(D_{h_k}J_T)J_T^{-1}. \tag{3.11}$$

Combining (3.8), (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^*(h) &= \left\langle \int_0^T \left[\sigma_s^{-1}(X_s)J_s^A\right]^* \mathrm{d}W_s, J_T^{-1}v \right\rangle \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^T \left\langle J_t^{-1}\left(\nabla_{tJ_t^A e_k} B_t\right)(X_t) J_t J_T^{-1} v \mathrm{d}t, e_k \right\rangle \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\langle \left[\int_0^T J_t^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\nabla_{tJ_t^A e_k} \Sigma_t^{(j)}\right)(X_t) J_t \mathrm{d}w_t^j\right] J_T^{-1} v, e_k \right\rangle \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^T \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\langle \sigma_t^{-1}(X_t) J_t^A e_k, e_j \right\rangle \left\langle J_t^{-1}\Sigma_t^{(j)}(X_t) J_t J_T^{-1} v \mathrm{d}t, e_k \right\rangle \\
&- \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^T \left\langle J_t^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_t^{(j)}(X_t) \left(\nabla_{tJ_t^A e_k} \Sigma_t^{(j)}\right)(X_t) J_t J_T^{-1} v \mathrm{d}t, e_k \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \int_0^T \left[\sigma_t^{-1}(X_t) J_t^A\right]^* \mathrm{d}W_t, J_T^{-1} v \right\rangle \\
&+ \int_0^T \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ t J_t^{-1} \left[(\nabla B_t)(X_t)\left(J_t J_T^{-1} v, J_t^A\right)\right]\right\} \mathrm{d}t \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\langle \left[\int_0^T J_t^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\nabla_{tJ_t^A e_k} \Sigma_t^{(j)}\right)(X_t) J_t \mathrm{d}w_t^j\right] J_T^{-1} v, e_k \right\rangle \\
&+ \int_0^T \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ J_t^{-1}\left[\Sigma_t(X_t) J_t J_T^{-1} v\right]\left(\sigma_t^*(\sigma_t\sigma_t^*)^{-1}\right)(X_t) J_t^A \right\} \mathrm{d}t \\
&- \int_0^T \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ t J_t^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_t^{(j)}(X_t)\left[\left(\nabla \Sigma_t^{(j)}\right)(X_t)\left(J_t J_T^{-1} v, J_t^A\right)\right]\right\} \mathrm{d}t
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this into (3.7), we obtain (2.8). □

4 Proof of Corollary 2.3

Proof of Corollary 2.3. (1) For simplicity, letting

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_1 &= \left\langle \int_0^T \left[\sigma_t^{-1}(X_t)J_t\right]^* \mathrm{d}W_t, J_T^{-1}v \right\rangle;\\
\Theta_2 &= \int_0^T \mathrm{Tr}\left\{tJ_t^{-1}\left[(\nabla B_t)(X_t)\left(J_tJ_T^{-1}v, J_t\right)\right]\right\}\mathrm{d}t;\\
\Theta_3 &= \sum_{k=1}^d \left\langle \left[\int_0^T J_t^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^d \left(\nabla_{tJ_te_k}\Sigma_t^{(j)}\right)(X_t)J_t\mathrm{d}w_t^j\right] J_T^{-1}v, e_k\right\rangle;\\
\Theta_4 &= \int_0^T \mathrm{Tr}\left\{J_t^{-1}\left[\Sigma_t(X_t)J_tJ_T^{-1}v\right]\sigma_t^{-1}(X_t)J_t\right\}\mathrm{d}t;\\
\Theta_5 &= -\int_0^T \mathrm{Tr}\left\{tJ_t^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^\infty \Sigma_t^{(j)}(X_t)\left[\left(\nabla\Sigma_t^{(j)}\right)(X_t)\left(J_tJ_T^{-1}v, J_t\right)\right]\right\}\mathrm{d}t,
\end{aligned}$$

then $M_T^v = \sum_{i=1}^5 \Theta_i$.

Firstly, by Hölder inequality, Itô isometric formula, (H2), Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}|\Theta_1| &\le \left\{\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\|\sigma_t^{-1}(X_t)J_t\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2\mathrm{d}t\mathbb{E}\left|J_T^{-1}v\right|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\le \lambda(T)\sqrt{dT}|v|\left(\beta_1(2,T)\beta_2(2,T)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Next, Hölder inequality, (H1), Lemma 2.1 yield that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}|\Theta_2| &\le dTK_2(T)|v|\int_0^T \left\{\mathbb{E}\|J_t\|^4\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_t^{-1}\right\|^2\left\|J_T^{-1}\right\|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{d}t\\
&\le dTK_2(T)|v|\int_0^T \left\{\mathbb{E}\|J_t\|^4\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_t^{-1}\right\|^4\right\}^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_T^{-1}\right\|^4\right\}^{\frac{1}{4}}\mathrm{d}t\\
&\le dT^2K_2(T)|v|\left(\beta_1(4,T)\beta_2(4,T)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Again by Hölder inequality, Itô isometric formula, (H1), Lemma 2.1, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}|\Theta_3| &\le dTK_2(T)|v|\int_0^T \left\{\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|J_t^{-1}\right\|^2\|J_t\|^4\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_T^{-1}\right\|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{d}t\\
&\le dTK_2(T)|v|\int_0^T \left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_t^{-1}\right\|^4\right\}^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\|J_t\|^8\right\}^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_T^{-1}\right\|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{d}t\\
&\le dT^2K_2(T)|v|\left(\beta_2(4,T)\beta_1(8,T)\beta_2^2(2,T)\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Similarly as (4.2), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}|\Theta_4| &\le d\lambda_2(T)K_1(T)|v|\int_0^T \left\{\mathbb{E}\|J_t\|^4\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_t^{-1}\right\|^2\left\|J_T^{-1}\right\|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{d}t\\
&\quad dT\lambda_2(T)K_1(T)|v|\left(\beta_1(4,T)\beta_2(4,T)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|\Theta_5| &\le dTK_1(T)K_2(T)|v|\int_0^T \left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_t\right\|^4\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_t^{-1}\right\|^2\left\|J_T^{-1}\right\|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{d}t\\ &\le dT^2K_1(T)K_2(T)|v|\left(\beta_1(4,T)\beta_2(4,T)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

Combining (4.1)-(4.5), we have

$$\mathbb{E}|M_T^v| \le |v|\Gamma_T,$$

here, Γ_T is in Corollary 2.3. According to (2.8), it is easy to see that

$$|P_T(\nabla_v f)| \le \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{T}\mathbb{E}|M_T^v| \le |v|\|f\|_\infty\frac{\Gamma_T}{T}, \quad f\in C_b^1\mathbb{R}^d.$$

By [7, Theorem 2.4(1)] with $H(r)=r$, (2.10) yields that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla_v \log p_T(x,\cdot)|(y)p_T(x,y)\mathrm{d}y \le |v|\frac{\Gamma_T}{T}, \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

(2) For any $q\ge 2$, by Burkerholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, Hölder inequality, (H2), Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|\Theta_1|^q &\le C(q)\lambda^q(T)T^{\frac{q-1}{2}}|v|^q\left\{\int_0^T\mathbb{E}\|J_t\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^{2q}\mathrm{d}t\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left|J_T^{-1}\right|^{2q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\le C(q)\lambda^q(T)d^{\frac{q}{2}}T^{\frac{q}{2}}|v|^q\{\beta_1(2q,T)\beta_2(2q,T)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

Next, Hölder inequality, (H1), Lemma 2.1 yield that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|\Theta_2|^q &\le d^{q-1}T^{q-1}dT^qK_2^q(T)|v|^q\int_0^T\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_t\right\|^{4q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_t^{-1}\right\|^{2q}\left\|J_T^{-1}\right\|^{2q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{d}t\\ &\le d^{q-1}T^{q-1}dT^qK_2^q(T)|v|^q\int_0^T\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_t\right\|^{4q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_t^{-1}\right\|^{4q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_T^{-1}\right\|^{q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{4}}\mathrm{d}t\\ &\le d^qT^{2q}K_2^q(T)|v|^q\left(\beta_1(4q,T)\beta_2(4q,T)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

Again by Burkerholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, Hölder inequality, (H1), Lemma 2.1, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|\Theta_3|^q &\le C(q)d^qT^{\frac{3q-1}{2}}K_2^q(T)|v|^q\int_0^T\left\{\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|J_t^{-1}\right\|^{2q}\left\|J_t\right\|^{4q}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_T^{-1}\right\|^{2q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{d}t\\ &\le C(q)d^qT^{\frac{3q-1}{2}}K_2^q(T)|v|^q\int_0^T\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_t^{-1}\right\|^{4q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_t\right\|^{8q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_T^{-1}\right\|^{2q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{d}t\\ &\le C(q)d^qT^{\frac{3q+1}{2}}K_2^q(T)|v|^q\left(\beta_2(4q,T)\beta_1(8q,T)\beta_2^2(2q,T)\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

Similarly as (4.7), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|\Theta_4|^q &\le d^{q-1}T^{q-1}d\lambda_2^q(T)K_1^q(T)|v|^q\int_0^T\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_t\right\|^{4q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_t^{-1}\right\|^{2q}\left\|J_T^{-1}\right\|^{2q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{d}t\\ &\le d^qT^q\lambda_2^q(T)K_1^q(T)|v|^q\left(\beta_1(4q,T)\beta_2(4q,T)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|\Theta_5|^q &\le d^{q-1}T^{q-1}dK_1^q(T)T^qK_2^q(T)|v|^q\int_0^T\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_t\right\|^{4q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left\|J_t^{-1}\right\|^{2q}\left\|J_T^{-1}\right\|^{2q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{d}t\\ &\le d^qT^{2q}K_1^q(T)K_2^q(T)|v|^q\left(\beta_1(4q,T)\beta_2(4q,T)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

Combining (4.6)-(4.10), for any $q\ge 2$, it holds that

$$(\mathbb{E}|M_T^v|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}\le\left\{5^{q-1}\sum_{i=1}^5\mathbb{E}|\Theta_i|^q\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}|v|\le\left\{5^{q-1}\Gamma_{T,q}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}|v|,\tag{4.11}$$

here, $\Gamma_{T,q}$ is in Corollary 2.3. On the other hand, Jensen inequality yields that

$$(\mathbb{E}|M_T^v|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}\le\left(\mathbb{E}|M_T^v|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\tag{4.12}$$

for any $1<q<2$. Combining (4.11) and (4.12), we obtain for any $q>1$,

$$(\mathbb{E}|M_T^v|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}\le\left\{5^{q\vee2-1}\Gamma_{T,q\vee2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q\vee2}}|v|.\tag{4.13}$$

It follows from (2.8), Hölder inequality and (4.13) that for any $p>1$,

$$\begin{aligned}|P_T(\nabla_v f)| &\le \frac{1}{T}(P_T|f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\mathbb{E}|M_T^v|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\\ &\le \frac{|v|}{T}(P_T|f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left\{5^{[\frac{p}{p-1}]\vee2-1}\Gamma_{T,[\frac{p}{p-1}]\vee2}\right\}^{[\frac{p-1}{p}]\wedge\frac{1}{2}},\quad f\in C_b^1\mathbb{R}^d.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, applying [7, Theorem 2.4(1)] with $H(r)=r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$, (2.10) yields that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla_v\log p_T(x,\cdot)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(y)p_T(x,y)\mathrm{d}y\le\frac{|v|}{T}\left\{5^{[\frac{p}{p-1}]\vee2-1}\Gamma_{T,[\frac{p}{p-1}]\vee2}\right\}^{[\frac{p-1}{p}]\wedge\frac{1}{2}},\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

Thus, the proof is completed. □

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Profeesor Feng-Yu Wang for corrections and helpful comments.

References

[1] B. Driver, *Integration by parts for heat kernel measures revisited,* J. Math. Pures Appl. 76(1997), 703-737.

[2] X.-L. Fan, *Integration by parts formula, derivative formula, and transportation inequalities for SDEs driven by fractional Brownian motion,* Stoch. Anal. Appl. 33(2015), 199-212.

[3] Z.-Y. Huang, *Basis for Stochastic Analysis (in Chinese),* Science press, Beijing, 2001.

[4] N. Jacob, *Pseudo Differential Operators and Markov Processes (Volume I),* Imperial College Press, London, 2001.

[5] P. Malliavin, *Stochastic analysis,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

[6] D. Nualart, *The Malliavin calculus and related topics,* Second Edition, Springer- Verlag, Berlin, 2005.

[7] F.-Y. Wang, *Integration by parts formula and shift Harnack inequality for stochastic equations,* Ann. Probab. 42(2014), 994-1019.

[8] F.-Y. Wang, *Integration by parts formula and applications for SDEs with Lévy noise (in Chinese),* Sci. Sin. Math. 45(2015), 461-470.

[9] F.-Y. Wang, *Integration by Parts Formula and Applications for SPDEs with Jumps,* Stochastics. 88(2016), 737-750.

[10] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack Inequality and Applications for Stochastic Partial Differential Equations,* Springer, New York, 2013.

[11] S.-Q. Zhang, *Shift Harnack Inequality and Integration by Part Formula for Semilinear SPDE,* Front. Math. China 11(2016), 461-496.